

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 CHRIS EPPERSON,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 UNITED STATES, et al.,

15 Defendant.
16

No. 1:21-cv-00493-NONE-SAB

ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS AND DISMISSING
ACTION FOR FAILURE TO STATE A
CLAIM AND FAILURE TO COMPLY
WITH A COURT ORDER

(Doc. Nos. 1, 7)

17 Plaintiff Chris Epperson is proceeding pro se and *in forma pauperis* in this action. The
18 matter was referred to a United States magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and
19 Local Rule 302.

20 On March 29, 2021, plaintiff's complaint was screened and dismissed with leave to
21 amend. (Doc. No. 4.) Plaintiff was afforded thirty (30) days within which to file an amended
22 complaint. (*Id.*) He failed to do so.

23 On May 13, 2021, the magistrate judge filed a findings and recommendations
24 recommending that this action be dismissed due to plaintiff's failure to state a claim and failure
25 to comply with a court order. (Doc. No. 7.) The findings and recommendations were served on
26 plaintiff and contained notice that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to
27 be filed within thirty days from the date of service. On May 24, 2021, plaintiff filed a document
28 entitled "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." (Doc. No. 8.)

1 However, that document does not address the magistrate judge's findings, but is merely a copy
2 of 19 U.S.C. § 1862, Safeguarding National Security.

3 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this court has conducted a
4 *de novo* review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court finds the
5 findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.

6 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 7 1. The findings and recommendations, filed May 13, 2021, (Doc. No. 7), are
8 ADOPTED IN FULL;
- 9 2. The complaint is DISMISSED due to plaintiff's failure to state a claim and failure
10 to comply with a court order;
- 11 3. The Clerk of the Court is DIRECTED to assign this matter to a district judge for
12 the purpose of closing this action; and
- 13 4. The Clerk of the Court is DIRECTED to close this action.

14 IT IS SO ORDERED.

15 Dated: June 29, 2021

16 
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE